

1 **Nature Connectedness, Nonattachment and Engagement with Nature's**
2 **Beauty Predict Pro-Nature Conservation Behaviour**

3
4 Barrows, P. D., Richardson, M., Hamlin, I., and Van Gordon, W.

5
6 Health, Psychology and Social Care Research Centre, University of Derby, UK

7
8 Corresponding author: P. Barrows, Health, Psychology and Social Care Research Centre,
9 University of Derby, Kedleston Road, Derby, DE22 1GB.

10 Email: P.Barrows@derby.ac.uk.

11
12
13
14 Final publication is available from Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., publishers

15 <https://doi.org/10.1089/eco.2021.0036>

16
17 Authors personal archive version. Copyright Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., publishers

18
19 Barrows, P. D., Richardson, M., Hamlin, I., & Van Gordon, W. (2022). Nature
20 Connectedness, Nonattachment, and Engagement with Nature's Beauty Predict Pro-Nature
21 Conservation Behavior. *Ecopsychology*.ahead of print. <https://doi.org/10.1089/eco.2021.0036>

22

Abstract

1
2 While research has examined factors that account for pro-environmental behaviours relating
3 to climate warming through carbon and resource use, very few studies have investigated
4 factors that account for behaviour that directly supports conservation of habitats and
5 biodiversity. In particular, there remain questions as to whether nature connectedness relates
6 to an individual's aesthetic or spiritual relationship with the objective world, or their
7 philosophy of consciousness and selfhood. Consequently, the purposes of this study were to
8 examine (i) the relationship between nature connectedness, engagement with nature's beauty,
9 nonattachment and implicit theory of mind, and (ii) how each of these variables predict pro-
10 nature conservation behaviour. A cross-sectional cohort study utilising a correlational design
11 recruited 203 male and female English-speaking adults. Participants completed a battery of
12 online psychometric tests that assessed each of the aforementioned variables. The data were
13 examined using bivariate correlations and multiple regression analysis. Significant
14 correlations were found between all pairs of variables. Furthermore, nonattachment and
15 nature connectedness were found to be significant predictors of pro-nature conservation
16 behaviour, while engagement with nature's beauty was of borderline significance and implicit
17 theory of mind was non-significant. Findings provide insight into the mechanisms underlying
18 specific psychological and philosophical outlooks that may facilitate or impair a person's
19 inclination to actively participate in pro-nature conservation behaviours. In particular,
20 findings suggest that practices or interventions which foster nonattachment in addition to
21 nature connectedness may have a role in the development of effective programmes to aid
22 nature's recovery.

23 **Keywords:** Nature Connectedness, Nonattachment, Nature's Beauty, Pro-Nature
24 Conservation Behaviour, Dualism, Implicit Theory of Mind

Introduction

Nature is in crisis with biodiversity in decline (IPBES, 2019). Human behaviour is a key cause in the ongoing loss of wildlife and nature's recovery depends upon greater engagement in pro-nature conservation behaviours. A great deal of research has examined factors which account for pro-environmental behaviours broadly related to climate warming through carbon and resource use. However, there has been little research that examines factors that account for behaviour that directly and actively supports conservation of habitats and biodiversity. The first research in this area to use a validated scale of pro-nature conservation behaviours found they were best explained through a close and active connection with nature, measured through the psychological construct of nature connectedness (Richardson et al., 2020).

Human-nature connectedness is often viewed as having spiritual aspects regarding an individual's connection to the world beyond themselves (Nisbet & Zelenski, 2013; Trigwell et al., 2014). Some believe that there is a distinctly transpersonal or even mystical dimension to our connection with nature, and that deeper ontological questions and concepts relating to the nature of selfhood, consciousness, and their place in the world have often gone hand-in-hand with pantheistic worldviews that are deeply connected to nature and natural phenomena (Deal & Bukowski, 2021; Van Gordon et al., 2018).

Various spiritual traditions from indigenous people throughout the world have involved an animism that is deeply rooted in the natural world, and where things and places are viewed as imbued with a spiritual essence or 'life force' (Nurit Bird-David, 1999). In many cultures, this animism evolved into pantheons of gods, such as those of Roman, Greek, Nordic and Egyptian civilisations, while in others, such as in Native American Indian, Shamanic and Pagan traditions, this essence is often characterised as something akin to a soul or spirit that occupies not only human beings, but all forms of life, as well as features of the

1 natural world. Archetypes of nature have found their way into folklore in the form of spirits
2 or *sprites* viewed as primitive forms of consciousness imbuing, animals, plants, rivers,
3 mountains and other natural settings, as well as the entirety of nature itself, as with notions
4 such as *Gaia*, a hypothetical entity representing the totality of our planetary ecosystem
5 (Varner, 2006).

6 This raises some interesting questions regarding whether nature connectedness relates
7 to any particular position regarding a person's philosophy of consciousness and its relation to
8 the objective world. The age-old mind-body problem is a crucial debate where thinkers have
9 attempted to resolve the apparent paradox of how an inner subjective world can coexist with
10 independent external or objective phenomena. Solutions to this problem can broadly be
11 divided into dualist and monist perspectives. In monist perspectives, viewpoints are often
12 adopted in which either subjective or objective frames of reference are considered primary.
13 Physicalist (or 'materialist') positions, for example, frequently characterise consciousness as
14 little more than an emergent property of brain processes (Davidson, 1970). Mentalist
15 perspectives, on the other hand, reverse this relationship, placing consciousness as primary,
16 and conceptualising the objective world as simply akin to a dream constructed out of 'mind-
17 stuff' (Eddington, 1929).

18 Dualistic conceptualisations of this relationship, on the other hand, such as the one
19 famously proposed by Descartes, assert that mental and physical classes of events are
20 somehow ontologically distinct, but struggle to reconcile them (Atmanspacher, 2012;
21 Benovsky, 2016; Chalmers, 2019). In the most notable and popular dualistic worldview,
22 *substance dualism*, the human mind is viewed to be literally a "ghost in the machine" (Ryle,
23 1949, p. 15) – a fundamentally different order of substance coexisting with a physical body.
24 Attempts to resolve this cartesian divide involve either a kind of interactionism by which
25 these two levels communicate at some mysterious juncture (Popper & Eccles, 1977), or a

1 parallelism through which both remain perfectly synchronised through some preordained
2 universal harmony (Lodge & Bobro, 1998). A rapprochement on these positions has been
3 attempted through what might be viewed as a compromise wherein monism is preserved, but
4 the ontological nature of reality is framed as a mysterious “third stuff” from which both
5 levels arise, often referred to as dual-aspect monism (Atmanspacher, 2012). The Cartesian
6 view where the object is seen as separate from the subject is reflected in nature connectedness
7 through considering the extent to which nature is included within an individual’s view of self
8 (Schultz, 2002). The self in this context reflects a key construct in Western thinking, with the
9 disembodied Cartesian self a common notion in Western societies (Bragg, 1996). With regard
10 to the state of the natural world, Bateson (1972, p. 337) saw Cartesian dualism as a key part
11 of the destruction of the natural world and stated that if humans continue to think in that way
12 “it is doubtful whether a species having both an advanced technology and this strange way of
13 looking at its world can endure”. Bateson (1972) also asserted a closer relationship with
14 nature could allow us to develop the more holistic relationship.

15 Important contributions on dualism can also be found within Buddhism – a system of
16 thought based on the teachings of Siddhartha Gautama over 2,500 years ago. Buddhist practise
17 is concerned primarily with the application of spiritual and meditative principles to the
18 understanding and transforming of suffering. In Buddhist thought, suffering (Pāli: *dukkha*) is
19 brought about by a cycle of craving, disillusionment and pain that results from our attachment
20 to impermanent states and things (Shonin et al., 2014). In Buddhism, *dukkha* is one of the
21 three marks of existence; alongside this is the truth of the impermanence of all things (Pāli:
22 *anicca*) and the truth that all things, including human beings, are devoid of an inherently
23 existing self (Pāli: *anattā*) (Van Gordon et al., 2017). Ignorance of these truths is viewed as
24 the primary cause of this cycle of suffering or dissatisfaction (Pāli: *Samsāra*). By engaging in
25 dualistic behaviours such as grasping at things we desire and rejecting their opposites, this

1 cycle of suffering is perpetuated (Chah, 2011), while transcendence of this cycle involves the
2 cultivation of *nonattachment*.

3 Cultivating nonattachment in the context of Buddhist practise involves a deeper
4 meditative enquiry into the nature of all phenomena as they arise and pass within the mind.
5 This enquiry is intended to bring about a realisation of the lack of inherent existence not only
6 of the self but of all manifest forms, through which the duality of self and other can be
7 undermined, thus cultivating a non-dualistic mode of being that gradually leads to *nirvana*
8 (Van Gordon et al., 2021). This non-dualistic mode of awareness is particularly relevant to
9 understanding consciousness, as it directly tackles the duality of subject and object. As with
10 dual-aspect monism, this mode of experiencing the world involves transcendence of subject
11 and object through a paradoxical “third-stuff” which encompasses and embodies these
12 complementary (yet also contradictory) frames of reference (Van Gordon et al., 2018). The
13 Buddhist perspective could therefore be viewed as essentially monist in this respect, and akin
14 to pantheistic or panpsychist perspectives; here, everything is viewed as permeated by a
15 numinous essence – both immanent yet transcendent – which embodies a quintessence of
16 consciousness which is both the container of, yet contained by, worldly phenomena.
17 Nonattachment therefore is a central concept of Buddhism, and since the Buddhist
18 perspective on consciousness is essentially monist (or non-dualistic), one might tentatively
19 hypothesise that the Buddhist notion of nonattachment might be more associated with monist
20 rather than dualistic beliefs regarding consciousness, and that higher levels of nature
21 connectedness would be associated with greater pro-nature conservation behaviours
22 (Richardson et al., 2020).

23 Beauty has also been a topic of human thought for millennia, with Western
24 philosophy considering beauty to be a fundamental aspect of human existence that exerts an
25 important influence on behaviour (Kaplan, 1987). Although humanity’s cultural history

1 contains frequent references to nature's beauty, Western philosophy has tended to focus on
2 beauty in art rather than nature's beauty (Diessner et al., 2008). The beauty of nature is a
3 fundamental aspect of the human relationship with the wider natural world, and research into
4 human–nature relationships has revealed the human preference for natural scenes. More
5 recently, beauty has been identified as a pathway to nature connectedness through its
6 relationship with moralistic values associated with care for nature (Lumber et al., 2017).
7 Indeed, Bateson (1972) proposed that greater connection to nature and the wider ecology
8 depended upon aesthetic experience (see also Charlton, 2008). Although a relationship
9 between engagement with nature's beauty and wellbeing has been established (Richardson &
10 McEwan, 2018; Zhang et al., 2014) across Western and Eastern cultures (Capaldi et al.,
11 2017), the link to pro-nature behaviours has received little attention – partly because
12 psychometric scales to measure pro-nature conservation behaviours have only been
13 developed recently (Barbett et al., 2020). However, there is some evidence that engagement
14 with nature's beauty is related to pro-environmental behaviours (Diessner et al., 2018).
15 Meanwhile, the Engagement with Nature's Beauty scale contains items on emotional and
16 spiritual feelings encountered when perceiving beauty in nature (Diessner et al., 2008).

17 The purpose of the present study was twofold. The first was to examine the
18 relationship between nature connectedness, engagement with nature's beauty, nonattachment
19 and implicit theory of mind. These latter three constructs, as discussed above, are particularly
20 relevant to human spirituality and our connection to and understanding of the world: beauty,
21 because of its fundamental place in the human-nature relationship that influences behaviour;
22 nonattachment, because of the deeper connection with the world that it entails, and its affinity
23 with a non-dualistic, Buddhist worldview; and implicit theory of mind because of its
24 profound relevance to how we conceptualise consciousness and its relationship to the world.
25 Understanding the relationship between these constructs may shed light on some key

1 knowledge gaps: (a) Whether nature connectedness is associated with a dualist or monist
2 conceptualisation of consciousness; (b) whether nonattachment or engagement with nature's
3 beauty is aligned with either of these conceptualisations (dualist or monist); and (c) the
4 relationship between nature connectedness, nonattachment and engagement with nature's
5 beauty.

6 The second purpose of the study was to examine how measures of nature
7 connectedness, nonattachment, engagement with nature's beauty, and implicit theory of mind
8 predict pro-nature conservation behaviour. At a time of crisis in biodiversity loss, it was
9 hoped that these measures might provide insight into key factors at play in the conservation
10 behaviours required for nature's recovery, and yield insights into the mechanisms underlying
11 factors that may facilitate or impair a person's inclination to actively participate in pro-nature
12 conservation behaviours.

13 Method

14 *Design*

15 This was a cross-sectional cohort study utilising a correlational design.

16 *Participants*

17 G*Power3 (Faul et al., 2007) was used to calculate the required sample size. An estimate of
18 200 participants was determined based on a desired power of 0.95, a significance threshold
19 (alpha) of 0.05, and a small-to-medium effect size ($r=0.25$) for a correlational design
20 employing two-tailed tests. In total, 203 participants (123 males, 77 females and 3 'other')
21 were recruited internationally via the *Prolific* online recruitment system; each received a
22 payment of £2.50 following participation in the survey (mean duration 17 min 44 s). The
23 mean age for males was 24.8 years ($SD=7.63$; range = 18–67) and the mean age for females
24 was 30.5 years ($SD=11.64$; range = 18–70). Of these participants, 164 (80.8%) reported their
25

1 ethnicity as ‘White’, three (1.48%) as ‘Black’, 10 (4.93%) as ‘Asian’, eight (3.94%) as
2 ‘mixed’ and 15 (7.39%) as ‘other ethnic group’; three participants (1.48%) responded ‘not
3 stated’. Of the 200 participants for whom location data was available, 44 (22%) were located
4 in Poland, 33 (16.5%) in the United Kingdom, 31 (15.5%) in Portugal, 16 (8%) in Mexico, 11
5 (5.5%) in the United States, 11 (5.5%) in Italy, eight (4%) in Canada, eight (4%) in Spain, six
6 (3%) in Greece and six (3%) in Hungary; the remaining 26 (13%) were located across
7 Australia, Chile, Czechia, Estonia, France, Germany, Latvia, Netherlands, Norway, Slovenia,
8 South Africa and Sweden. Inclusion criteria for this study were that participants should be
9 English-speakers aged over 18 years, who do not have psychotic symptoms, neurological
10 conditions or a substance-use disorder.

11

12 *Measures*

13 To assess nonattachment, the eight-item short form of the Nonattachment Scale (NAS-SF)
14 was used (Chio et al., 2018). This self-report measure assesses “release from mental
15 fixations” (Sahdra et al., 2010) and employs a six-point Likert scale from 1 (“strongly
16 disagree”) to 6 (“strongly agree”). The scale contains items such as “I can accept the flow of
17 events in my life without hanging onto them or pushing them away” and “I find I can be calm
18 and/or happy even if things are not going my way”. The NAS-SF has a score total in the
19 range of 8 to 48, with higher scores indicating higher levels of non-attachment. In the current
20 study, the NAS-SF had a Cronbach’s alpha of .83.

21 The Engagement with Beauty Scale (EBS) is a 14-item self-report scale measuring
22 engagement with beauty (Diessner et al., 2008). For this study, a four-item short form of the
23 scale (EBS-4) was used. The scale uses a seven-point Likert Scale from 1 (“very unlike me”)
24 to 7 (“very like me”) and contains items like “I notice beauty in one or more aspects of

1 nature” and “When perceiving beauty in nature I feel something like a spiritual experience,
2 perhaps a sense of oneness, or being united with the universe, or a love of the entire world”.
3 The EBS-4 has a score total in the range of 7 to 28, with higher scores indicating a higher
4 level of engagement with nature’s beauty. In the current study, the EBS-4 had a Cronbach’s
5 alpha of .83.

6 The Dualism Scale (DS-26) (Stanovich, 1989) is a self-report scale measuring implicit
7 theory of mind, specifically the extent to which the respondent believes that ‘mind’ and
8 ‘matter’ represent distinct, qualitatively different forms of reality. It employs a five-point
9 Likert scale from 1 (“strongly disagree”) to 5 (“strongly agree”) and contains items such as
10 “The mind is a special form of energy (currently unknown to man) that is in contact with the
11 brain and affects it” and “My consciousness will survive the disintegration of my physical
12 body”. A 27th question from the original form of the questionnaire was omitted because it
13 was deemed too long. The resultant DS-26 form has a score total in the range of 26 to 130,
14 with higher scores reflecting higher levels of dualism. In the current study, the DS-26 had a
15 Cronbach’s alpha of .78. In a factor analytic study of an adapted, 25-item version of the
16 Dualism Scale, Riekkki et al. (2013) reported three factors corresponding to “reflective
17 dualism” (where mind and body are qualitatively distinct), “emergentism” (where mind and
18 body are qualitatively distinct yet interdependent) and “monism” (where mind and body are
19 facets of the same thing), with reliability estimates (*rho*) of .87, .82, and .75 respectively.

20 Nature connectedness was measured using the Nature Relatedness Scale (NR6)
21 (Nisbet & Zelenski, 2013). The NR6 is a brief self-report scale measuring a person’s “interest
22 in, fascination with, and desire for nature contact” (Nisbet & Zelenski, 2013, p. 2). It uses a
23 five-point Likert scale from 1 (“disagree strongly”) to 5 (“agree strongly”) and contains items
24 like “My ideal vacation spot would be a remote, wilderness area” and “My connection to

1 nature and the environment is a part of my spirituality”. The scale has a score total in the
2 range of 6 to 30. In the current study, the NR6 had a Cronbach’s alpha of .82.

3 The Pro-nature and Conservation Behaviour Scale short form (PROCOBS-8) (Barbett
4 et al., 2020) is a brief self-report measure to assess behaviours that support biodiversity
5 conservation. Items are reported on a seven-point Likert scale from 1 (“never”) to 7
6 (“always”) and the scale contains items such as “When I see litter, I pick it up” and “I vote
7 for parties/candidates with strong pro-nature conservation policies in elections”. The scale
8 has a score total in the range of 7 to 42. In a validation study, the PROCOBS-8 demonstrated
9 high internal consistency ($\alpha = .825$) and a very high correlation with the PROCOBS long
10 form ($r=.935$; $p < .001$; Barbett et al., 2020). In the current study, the PROCOBS-8 had a
11 Cronbach’s alpha of .82.

12 *Procedure*

13 Participants were directed to a Qualtrics online survey via an online hyperlink. The survey
14 began with an information page giving details of the study. The page detailed the purpose of
15 the study, the requirements for participation, and policy concerning informed consent,
16 withdrawal, and data protection, as well as contact details of the principal investigator. If the
17 participant wished to continue, they were directed to a page in which they ticked a box to
18 consent to take part in the study; they were also given the option to provide a unique code
19 which would allow them to withdraw their data from the study. Once consent was given, a
20 form was presented that requested demographic information, including age, gender and
21 ethnicity, following which, participants were invited to complete the NAS-SF, EBS-4, DS-26,
22 NR6 and PROCOBS-8. Participants were free to complete these questionnaires at their own
23 pace. At the end of the survey, a debrief form was presented which explained the right to
24 withdraw and provided signposting to Samaritans and MIND as a safeguarding measure.

1 Ethical approval for the study was provided by the Research Ethics Committee of the
2 University of [Redacted], UK.

3 ***Data Analysis***

4 Data from each of the aforementioned measures were analysed using SPSS Version 26 (SPSS
5 Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The data were examined using bivariate correlations and a multiple
6 regression analysis.

Results

To investigate the relationships between the predictor items of interest (nonattachment, engagement with nature's beauty, dualism, nature connectedness) and the dependent variable (pro-nature conservation behaviour), bivariate correlations were performed between each of the variables. Means, standard deviations, skewness and kurtosis values for these variables are shown in Table 1. All skewness and kurtosis values were between -1 and 1, suggesting that scores for each variable were normally distributed and thus suitable for correlation analysis using Pearson's r (Gravetter & Wallnau, 2012). As illustrated in Table 2, there were significant correlations between all pairs of variables except for nonattachment and dualism.

[Table 1]

To further examine the extent to which the four variables predicted pro-nature conservation behaviour, a multiple regression was performed using the enter method. In addition to the four variables of interest, age, gender and ethnicity were also entered as predictors. The assumptions for lack of multicollinearity and independence of errors were met (VIF = 1 – 1.83; Tolerance = .55 - .99; Durbin-Watson = 1.93), as was the assumption of homoskedasticity (Breusch-Pagan = 5.09, $df = 7$, $p = .065$). A Shapiro-Wilk test confirmed the assumption that the underlying residuals were normally distributed ($W = .99$, $p = .082$), and a one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test confirmed that the dependent variable, pro-nature conservation behaviour, was normally distributed ($D = .062$, $p = .055$).

[Table 2]

As shown in Table 3, the model was significant ($F(7,192) = 12.34$, $p < .001$, $adj. R^2 = .29$), with nonattachment ($t = 2.15$, $p = .033$), and nature connectedness ($t = 4.26$, $p < .001$) found

1 to be significant predictors of pro-nature conservation behaviour. Engagement with nature's
2 beauty was of borderline significance ($t = 1.97, p = .051$).

3

4 [Table 3]

5

6

Discussion

7

8 The primary purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between nature
9 connectedness, engagement with nature's beauty, nonattachment and implicit theory of mind.
10 Though theories of mind have been examined and discussed extensively (Chalmers, 1997),
11 there has been no research that we are aware of in which implicit theory of mind has been
12 examined in relation to associated constructs relating to spirituality and with the human-
13 nature relationship.

14 Because nonattachment is a central concept of Buddhism, it was tentatively
15 speculated that since other core Buddhist concepts, such as *nirvana* and non-self, embody an
16 essentially monist (or nondualistic) perspective, then nonattachment might be associated
17 more with monist, and less with dualist beliefs, regarding the nature of consciousness and
18 phenomena. Therefore, it might be expected that high nonattachment scores would be
19 associated with lower scores on the dualism scale. However, it could also be argued that just
20 because nonattachment is a core feature of Buddhist philosophy, it does not mean that
21 individuals who score high on non-attachment necessarily subscribe to a Buddhist
22 philosophical outlook or its essentially monist (or non-dualistic) outlook. Thus, a likely
23 alternative would be that there is little or no significant correlation in this respect. Our results
24 support this latter possibility: there was a nonsignificant relationship ($r=.12; p=.09$) between
25 scores on dualism and nonattachment. There was a weak relationship ($r=.26; p<.001$)

1 between scores on dualism and engagement with nature's beauty, and a weak relationship
2 ($r=.20$; $p<.01$) between scores on dualism and nature connectedness.

3 Thus, regarding, (a), the question of the relationship between nature connectedness
4 and dualism, there appears to be a weak, but statistically significant positive relationship
5 between these two measures. Regarding (b), whether nonattachment or engagement with
6 nature's beauty is aligned with a dualist or monist conceptualisation of mind, the findings
7 suggested no significant relationship between nonattachment and dualism scores, but a weak,
8 positive relationship between engagement with nature's beauty and dualism scores. The
9 finding of a positive relationship between a dualistic concept of mind, and appreciation of
10 nature's beauty is an interesting finding. The association between dualism and engagement
11 with nature's beauty might relate to the notion of beauty involving some fundamental
12 interplay between subject and object.

13 Regarding (c), the relationship between nature connectedness, nonattachment and
14 engagement with nature's beauty, there was a weak, positive relationship between scores on
15 nonattachment and engagement with nature's beauty ($r=.36$; $p<.001$), and a weak, positive
16 relationship between scores on nonattachment and nature connectedness ($r=.30$; $p<.001$).
17 There was also a strong, positive relationship between engagement with nature's beauty and
18 nature connectedness ($r=.61$; $p<.001$). This result was in line with previous findings
19 suggesting a positive relationship between engagement with nature's beauty and nature
20 connectedness (Diessner et al., 2013; Richardson & McEwan, 2018), and provides further
21 evidence that noticing nature and its beauty is a route to increased nature connectedness
22 (Lumber et al., 2017; Richardson & Sheffield, 2017).

23 The secondary purpose of this study – but arguably the most important in terms of the
24 practical implications for the service of nature conservation – was to examine how these

1 variables (nature connectedness, nonattachment, engagement with nature's beauty, and
2 implicit theory of mind) predict pro-nature conservation behaviour. In this respect, two main
3 findings were evident. First, nature connectedness was the strongest predictor of pro-nature
4 conservation behaviour scores ($t = 4.26$, $p < .001$), followed by nonattachment ($t = 2.15$, $p =$
5 $.033$) and then (of marginal significance) engagement with nature's beauty ($t = 1.97$, $p =$
6 $.051$), with dualism being non-significant. Though the first of these is not especially
7 surprising, it is reassuring in that the relationship previously identified (Richardson et al.,
8 2020) appears to be quite robust, suggesting that higher scores on nature connectedness are
9 reflected in higher levels of pro-nature conservation behaviour. Richardson et al. (2020)
10 found that underlying nature connectedness and engaging in simple nature activities, which
11 help build nature connectedness, emerged as the largest significant contributor to pro-nature
12 conservation behaviours. Further, building on the link to pro-environmental behaviours found
13 by Diessner et al. (2018), we believe this is the first link between engagement with nature's
14 beauty and pro-nature conservation behaviours specifically. As Diessner et al. (2018) note,
15 appreciating beauty leads to valuing the object of beauty.

16 Bateson (1972) felt that the Cartesian dualism, and a world view that sees people set
17 apart from the environment was a key factor in the destruction of the natural world, therefore
18 one might have tentatively hypothesised that higher scores on dualism predict lower scores
19 on pro-nature conservation behaviours; however the results of the regression analysis
20 suggested no significant relationship. Furthermore, the finding of a small but significant
21 correlation between dualism and pro-nature conservation scores ($r = .22$, $p < .001$), also did not
22 agree with this prediction and suggested a more complex relationship.

23 The positive relationship with non-attachment is interesting. The fact that
24 nonattachment appears to predict pro-nature conservation behaviour raises some interesting

1 questions about the relationship between these two variables. Due to the correlational design
2 of this study, it is not possible to infer a definite causal role of nonattachment on the
3 behaviours in question, however, it suggests the intriguing possibility that Buddhist practices
4 which foster nonattachment may also promote pro-conservation behaviours, adding to the
5 findings of Richardson et al. (2020). Future research examining the impact of Buddhist-
6 derived interventions might also include measures of pro-conservation behaviour to examine
7 whether such practises do indeed promote more pro-nature behaviours. Such work could
8 inform and strengthen interventions designed around the ‘Green Care Code’ – to stop, look,
9 listen and enjoy nature every day – proposed by Richardson et al. (2020).

10 Recommendations for practising such Buddhist non-attachment techniques in the
11 context of deepening pro-nature attitudes and behaviours have been proposed by Van Gordon
12 et al. (2018). An example includes using either direct observation or visualization techniques
13 to become aware of the non-attachment properties of a given nature-based phenomenon, such
14 as a mountain, cloud, flower, the sky, a wave or a lake. In the case of a mountain, Van
15 Gordon et al., (2018, p. 1657) explain that while observing the mountain, “we should see that
16 it is unfazed by the changing weather it encounters. Storms, rain, and strong winds beat upon
17 the mountain’s side, but it remains centered and calm ... [The mountain] is not attached to
18 agreeable weather and understands that, like all things, weather fronts and seasons come and
19 go.”

20 Van Gordon et al. (2018) explicate that to be effective as a means of cultivating non-
21 attachment, after noticing and contemplating how the nature-based phenomenon embodies
22 non-attachment principles in its natural environment, instead of relating to it as something
23 separate or outside of themselves, the individual should start to see themselves as the nature-
24 based phenomenon in question:

1 “As we breathe in, we should experience that the mountain slightly expands, and as
2 we breathe out, we should experience that the mountain slightly contracts. If we
3 encounter turbulent winds of thoughts and feelings when visualizing ourselves as a
4 mountain, we should remember that we are deeply rooted in the earth and should try
5 to remain calm, centered, and stable. We can also use the mountain’s altitude to
6 survey and rise above the landscape of the mind. From this elevated position, we can
7 observe the processes that are unfolding in the mind but we can remain unattached to
8 those processes and understand that our mental landscape is changing on a moment-
9 by-moment basis” (p.1657).

10
11 Though the present study has yielded some interesting findings and highlighted some
12 potentially fruitful avenues of exploration regarding factors that may increase pro-nature
13 conservation behaviour, it is important to acknowledge some limitations. As previously
14 mentioned, correlation does not imply causation, and further research is needed to establish a
15 clearer picture of the causal relationships at play among the factors under examination. The
16 scales used in the current study were also not administered in randomised order, which may
17 bring into play order effects. Furthermore, demographic data for this study were collected
18 prior to administration of the scales, which may have introduced priming effects.

19 **Conclusion**

20 Though much has been written on implicit theory of mind – a subject that has important
21 implications for how we conceptualise ourselves and our relationship with the world around
22 us – the question of how this is associated with nature connectedness, pro-nature conservation
23 behaviour and nonattachment has not been previously examined. Given the important role of
24 nonattachment in Buddhism, and the essentially monist conceptualisation of consciousness

1 underpinning Buddhist thought, we hypothesised either a negative correlation between
2 nonattachment and dualism, or else little or no correlation due to the indirect nature of the
3 relationship. This prediction was indeed supported by the findings of no significant
4 correlation between these variables.

5 The small positive correlations observed between dualism scale scores, and both
6 appreciation of nature's beauty and nature connectedness, however, is an interesting and
7 curious finding, as it seems to disagree with Bateson's (1972) view of Cartesian dualism
8 being associated with a negative relationship with nature. The findings in this respect suggest
9 a more complex relationship which may be an avenue for further exploration.

10 Of particular interest in terms of pro-nature conservation behaviour is the finding that
11 nature connectedness, nonattachment and engagement with nature's beauty appear to predict
12 scores on pro-nature conservation behaviour. There is a need to aid nature's recovery through
13 pro-nature conservation behaviours. The biodiversity of domestic and community gardens
14 managed by the public has a role to play, and this requires encouraging more people to
15 engage in such actions. Previous research has shown that these pro-nature behaviours can be
16 improved through increasing nature connectedness and engaging people in simple nature
17 activities. The current research builds on this and suggests that Buddhist practices which
18 foster nonattachment, and engaging people's appreciation of nature's beauty may have a role
19 in the design of the most effective programmes to aid nature's recovery.

20

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

Declarations

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, or publication of this article.

Data Availability

The dataset for this study is available from a public repository (ResearchGate).

Ethics Approval

Ethical approval for the study was provided by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of [Redacted], UK.

Consent to Participate

Informed consent was given by all participants.

Consent to Publish

Informed consent included consent for publication of reports using data from this study.

References

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- Atmanspacher, H. (2012). Dual-aspect monism à la Pauli and Jung. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 19(9-10), 9-10.
- Barbett, L., Stuppel, E. J. N., Sweet, M., Schofield, M. B., & Richardson, M. (2020). Measuring Actions for Nature—Development and Validation of a Pro-Nature Conservation Behaviour Scale. *Sustainability*, 12(12), 4885. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/12/4885>
- Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an ecology of mind: Collected essays in anthropology, psychiatry, evolution, and epistemology*. University of Chicago Press.
- Benovsky, J. (2016). Dual-Aspect Monism. *Philosophical Investigations*, 39(4), 335-352.
- Berkeley, G. (1734). *A treatise concerning the principles of human knowledge, 1734*. Menston, Scolar Press.
- Capaldi, C. A., Passmore, H.-A., Ishii, R., Chistopolskaya, K. A., Vowinckel, J., Nikolaev, E. L., & Semikin, G. I. (2017). Engaging with natural beauty may be related to well-being because it connects people to nature: Evidence from three cultures. *Ecopsychology*, 9(4), 199-211. <https://doi.org/10.1089/eco.2017.0008>
- Chah, A. (2011). *The collected teachings of Ajahn Chah*. Aruna.
- Chalmers, D. (2019). Idealism and the mind-body problem. In W. Seager (Ed.), *The Routledge Handbook of Panpsychism* (pp. 353-373). Routledge.
- Chalmers, D. J. (1997). *The conscious mind : in search of a fundamental theory*. New York : Oxford University Press, 1997. <https://search.library.wisc.edu/catalog/9910100412202121>
- Charlton, N. G. (2008). *Understanding Gregory Bateson : Mind, beauty, and the sacred Earth* [Book]. SUNY Press.

- 1 Chio, F. H. N., Lai, M. H. C., & Mak, W. W. S. (2018). Development of the Nonattachment
2 Scale-Short Form (NAS-SF) Using Item Response Theory. *Mindfulness*, 9(4), 1299-
3 1308. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0874-z>
- 4 Davidson, D. (1970). Mental Events. In L. Foster & J. W. Swanson (Eds.), *Experience and*
5 *theory* (pp. 207-224). Clarendon Press.
- 6 Deal, P. J., & Bukowski, M. (2021). Bridging Spirituality/Religiousness and Ecotherapy:
7 Four Psychospiritual Themes to Guide Conceptualization and Practice.
8 *Ecopsychology*, 13(3), 165-173. <https://doi.org/10.1089/eco.2020.0048.db>
- 9 Diessner, R., Genthôs, R., Praest, K., & Pohling, R. (2018). Identifying with nature mediates
10 the influence of valuing nature's beauty on proenvironmental behaviors.
11 *Ecopsychology*, 10(2), 97-105. <https://doi.org/10.1089/eco.2017.0040>
- 12 Diessner, R., Solom, R. D., Frost, N. K., Parsons, L., & Davidson, J. (2008). Engagement
13 with beauty: Appreciating natural, artistic, and moral beauty. *The Journal of*
14 *Psychology*, 142(3), 303-332. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JRLP.142.3.303-332>
- 15 Diessner, R., Iyer, R., Smith, M., Haidt, J. (2013). Who engages with moral beauty? *Journal*
16 *of Moral Education*, 42(2), 139-163.
17 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03057240.2013.785941>
- 18 Eddington, A. S. S. (1929). *The nature of the physical world*. Cambridge University Press.
- 19 Gravetter, F. J., & Wallnau, L. (2012). *Statistics for the behavioral sciences*. Cengage
20 Learning.
- 21 IPBES. (2019). *Global assessment on biodiversity and ecosystem services*.
22 <https://ipbes.net/global-assessment-report-biodiversity-ecosystem-services>
- 23 Kaplan, S. (1987). Mental fatigue and the designed environment. In J. Harvey & D. Hennings
24 (Eds.), *Public environments* (pp. 55-60). EDRA.
- 25 Lodge, P., & Bobro, M. (1998). Stepping back into Leibniz's Mill. *Monist*, 81(4), 554-573.

- 1 Lumber, R., Richardson, M., & Sheffield, D. (2017). Beyond knowing nature: Contact,
2 emotion, compassion, meaning, and beauty are pathways to nature connection. *PLoS*
3 *One*, 12(5), e0177186. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177186>
- 4 Nisbet, E., & Zelenski, J. (2013). The NR-6: a new brief measure of nature relatedness
5 [Original Research]. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4(813).
6 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00813>
- 7 Nurit Bird-David. (1999). “Animism” revisited: Personhood, environment, and relational
8 epistemology. *Current Anthropology*, 40(S1), S67-S91.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1086/200061>
- 10 Popper, K. R., & Eccles, J. C. (1977). *The self and its brain*. Springer International.
- 11 Richardson, M., Hunt, A., Hinds, J., Bragg, R., Fido, D., Petronzi, D., Barbett, L., Clitherow,
12 T., & White, M. (2019). A measure of nature connectedness for children and adults:
13 Validation, performance, and insights. *Sustainability*, 11(12), 3250.
14 <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/11/12/3250>
- 15 Richardson, M., & McEwan, K. (2018). 30 days wild and the relationships between
16 engagement with nature’s beauty, nature connectedness and well-being. *Frontiers in*
17 *Psychology*, 9(1500). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01500>
- 18 Richardson, M., Passmore, H.-A., Barbett, L., Lumber, R., Thomas, R., & Hunt, A. (2020).
19 The green care code: How nature connectedness and simple activities help explain
20 pro-nature conservation behaviours. *People and Nature*, 2(3), 821-839.
21 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10117>
- 22 Richardson, M., & Sheffield, D. (2017). Three good things in nature: Noticing nearby nature
23 brings sustained increases in connection with nature. *Tres cosas buenas de la*
24 *naturaleza: prestar atención a la naturaleza cercana produce incrementos*

- 1 *prolongados en conexión con la naturaleza. PsyEcology*, 8(1), 1-32.
- 2 <https://doi.org/10.1080/21711976.2016.1267136>
- 3 Riecki, T., Lindeman, M., & Lipsanen, J. (2013). Conceptions about the mind-body problem
4 and their relations to afterlife beliefs, paranormal beliefs, religiosity, and ontological
5 confusions. *Advances in Cognitive Psychology*, 9(3), 112-120.
- 6 <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10053-008-0138-5>
- 7 Ryle, G. (1949). *The concept of mind*. Barnes & Noble.
- 8 Sahdra, B. K., Shaver, P. R., & Brown, K. W. (2010). A scale to measure nonattachment: a
9 Buddhist complement to Western research on attachment and adaptive functioning.
10 *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 92(2), 116-127.
- 11 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223890903425960>
- 12 Schultz, P. W. (2002). Inclusion with Nature: The psychology Of human-nature relations. In
13 P. Schmuck & W. P. Schultz (Eds.), *Psychology of sustainable development* (pp. 61-
14 78). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-0995-0_4
- 15 Shonin, E., Van Gordon, W., & Griffiths, M. D. (2014). The emerging role of Buddhism in
16 clinical psychology: Toward effective integration. *Psychology of Religion and*
17 *Spirituality*, 6(2), 123-137. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035859>
- 18 Stanovich, K. E. (1989). Implicit philosophies of mind: The dualism scale and its relation to
19 religiosity and belief in extrasensory perception. *The Journal of Psychology*, 123(1),
20 5-23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.1989.10542958>
- 21 Trigwell, J. L., Francis, A. J. P., & Bagot, K. L. (2014). Nature connectedness and
22 eudaimonic well-being: Spirituality as a potential mediator. *Ecopsychology*, 6(4), 241-
23 251. <https://doi.org/10.1089/eco.2014.0025>

- 1 Van Gordon, W., Saphiang, S., Barrows, P., & Shonin, E. (2021). Understanding and
2 practicing emptiness. *Mindfulness, Advance Online Publication*.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-020-01586-1>
- 4 Van Gordon, W., Shonin, E., Diouri, S., Garcia-Campayo, J., Kotera, Y., & Griffiths, M. D.
5 (2018). Ontological addiction theory: Attachment to me, mine, and I. *Journal of*
6 *Behavioral Addictions*, 7(4), 892-896. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.7.2018.45>
- 7 Van Gordon, W., Shonin, E., & Griffiths, M. D. (2017). Buddhist emptiness theory:
8 Implications for psychology. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 9(4), 309-318.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1037/rel0000079>
- 10 Van Gordon, W., Shonin, E., & Richardson, M. (2018). Mindfulness and Nature.
11 *Mindfulness*, 9(5), 1655-1658. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-018-0883-6>
- 12 Varner, G. (2006). *The mythic forest, the green man and the spirit of nature: the re-*
13 *emergence of the spirit of nature from ancient times into modern society*. Algora
14 Publishing.
- 15 Zhang, J. W., Howell, R. T., & Iyer, R. (2014). Engagement with natural beauty moderates
16 the positive relation between connectedness with nature and psychological well-being.
17 *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 55-63.
18 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.12.013>

19

20

1 *Table 1.*

2 *Mean, Skewness and Kurtosis values (and standard deviations) for the main variables of interest*

	Mean	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis
Nature Connectedness	20.86	5.08	-.40	-.48
Nonattachment	30.91	6.97	-.24	.37
Engagement with Nature's Beauty	20.66	4.99	-.70	.11
Dualism	74.13	10.71	-.55	.78
Pro-nature conservation	26.73	10.17	.37	-.47

3

4

1 *Table 2.*

2 *Pearson correlations (2-tailed) between the main variables of interest. The p values have been*
 3 *adjusted using the Bonferroni-Holm method.*

	1. Nature Connected- ness	2. Nonattach- ment	3. Engagement with Nature's Beauty	4. Dualism	5. Pro-nature Conservation
1. Nature Connectedness	-	.30***	.61***	.20**	.48***
2. Nonattachment		-	.36***	.12	.30***
3. Engagement with Nature's Beauty			-	.26***	.43***
4. Dualism				-	.22**
5. Pro-nature Conservation					-

4 ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

5

6

1 *Table 3.*2 *Standardised regression coefficients predicting pro-nature conservation behaviour*

	B	SE	β
Gender	.11	.07	.10
Age	.20	1.37	.01
Ethnicity	-1.84	1.61	-.07
Nature Connectedness	.65	.15***	.32
Nonattachment	.21	.10**	.14
Engagement with Nature's Beauty	.33	.17*	.16
Dualism	.10	.06	.10
<i>Adjusted R²</i>		.29	
<i>F</i>		12.34	

3 * $p < .1$; ** $p < .05$; *** $p < .001$

4